arXiv:gr-qc/0506112v2 26 Jun 2005

Higher Dimensional Cosmological Implications Of A Decay Law For Λ Term : Expressions For Some Observable Quantities

Anirudh Pradhan [1] , G. S. Khadekar [2] and Deepak Srivastava [3]

Abstract

Implications of cosmological model with a cosmological term of the form $\Lambda = \beta\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}$, where β is a constant, are analyzed in multidimensional space time. The proper distance, the luminosity distance-redshift, the angular diameter distance-redshift, and look back time-redshift for the model are presented. It has been shown that such models are found to be compatible with the recent observations. This work has thus generalized to higher dimensions the well-know result in four dimensional space time. It is found that there may be significant difference in principle at least, from the analogous situation in four dimensional space time.

PACS: 98.80.-k, 98.80.Es
Key words : Cosmology, higher dimensional space time, cosmological constant, cosmological tests

[1]Department of Mathematics, Hindu Post-graduate College, Zamania-232 331, Ghazipur, India. E-mail: apradhan@iucaa.ernet.in, acpradhan@yahoo.com

[2]Department of Mathematics, Nagpur University, Mahatma Jyotiba Phule Educational Campus, Amravati Road, Nagpur - 440 033, India. E-mail : gkhadekar@yahoo.com, gkhadekar@rediffmail.com

[3]Department of Mathematics, Hindu Post-graduate College, Zamania-232 331, Ghazipur, India.

1 Introduction

Higher dimensional space time is an active research in its attempt to unify gravity with other forces in nature. This idea is particularly important in the filed of cosmology since one knows that our universe has much smaller in its early stage than it today. Indeed the present four dimensional stage of the universe could have been preceded by higher dimensional stage, which at later times becomes effectively four dimensional in the sense that the extra dimension become unobservably small due to dynamical contraction (Chodos and Detweiler [1]). In this work we consider multidimensional Robertson Walker (RW) model as a test case. In RW type of homogenous cosmological model, the dimensionality has a marked effect on the time temperature relation of the universe and our universe appears to cool more slowly in higher dimensional space time (Chatterjee [2]).

One of the most important and outstanding problems in cosmology is the cosmological constant problem. The recent observations indicate that $\Lambda \sim 10^{-55} cm^{-2}$ while particle physics prediction for Λ is greater than this value by a factor of order 10^{120}. This discrepancy is known as cosmological constant problem. Some of the recent discussions on the cosmological constant "problem" and consequence on cosmology with a time-varying cosmological constant are investigated by Ratra and Peebles [3], Dolgov [4] − [6], Sahni and Starobinsky [7], Padmanabhan [8] and Peebles [9]. Recent observations by Perlmutter *et al.* [10] and Riess *et al.* [11] strongly favour a significant and positive value of Λ. Their finding arise from the study of more than 50 type Ia supernovae with redshifts in the range $0.10 \leq z \leq 0.83$ and these suggest Friedmann models with negative pressure matter such as a cosmological constant (Λ), domain walls or cosmic strings (Vilenkin [12], Garnavich *et al.* [13]). Recently, Carmeli and Kuzmenko [14] have shown that the cosmological relativistic theory (Behar and Carmeli [15]) predicts the value for cosmological constant $\Lambda = 1.934 \times 10^{-35} s^{-2}$. This value of "$\Lambda$" is in excellent agreement with the measurements recently obtained by the High-z Supernova Team and Supernova Cosmological Project (Garnavich *et al.* [13]; Perlmutter *et al.* [10]; Riess *et al.* [11]; Schmidt *et al.* [16]). The main conclusion of these observations is that the expansion of the universe is accelerating.

Several ansätz have been proposed in which the Λ term decays with time (see Refs. Gasperini [17], Gasperini [18], Berman [19], Freese *et al.* [34], Özer and Taha [20], Peebles and Ratra [21], Chen and Hu [22], Abdussattar and Viswakarma [23], Gariel and Le Denmat [24], Pradhan *et al.* [25]). Of the special interest is the ansätz $\Lambda \propto a^{-2}$ (where a is the scale factor of the Robertson-Walker metric) by Chen and Wu [22], which has been considered/modified by several authors (Abdel-Rahaman [26], Carvalho *et al.* [27], Waga [28], Silveira and Waga [29], Vishwakarma [30]). However, not all vacuum decaying cosmological models predict acceleration. Al-Rawaf and Taha and Al-Rawaf [31] and Overdin and Cooperstock [32] proposed a cosmological model with a cosmological constant of the form $\Lambda = \beta \frac{\ddot{a}}{a}$, where a is the scale factor of the

universe and β is a constant. Following the same decay law recently Arbab [33] have investigated cosmic acceleration with positive cosmological constant and also analyze the implication of a model built-in cosmological constant for four-dimensional space time. The cosmological consequences of this decay law are very attractive. This law provides reasonable solutions to the cosmological puzzles presently known. One of the motivations for introducing Λ term is to reconcile the age parameter and the density parameter of the universe with recent observational data.

In this paper by considering cosmological implication of decay law for Λ that proportional to $\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}$, we discuss the cosmological tests pertaining proper distance, luminosity distance, angular diameter distance, and look-back time in the framework of higher dimensional space time and shown that Freese *et al.* [34] model is retrieved from our model for a particular choice of A_0 and $n = 2$. The Einstein-de Sitter (ES) results are also obtained from our results for the case $A_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $n = 2$.

2 The Metric and Field Equations

Consider the $(n + 2)$-dimensional homogeneous and isotropic model of the universe represented by the space time

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)\left[\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2 dX_n^2\right], \tag{1}$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor, $k = 0, \pm 1$ is the curvature parameter and

$$dX_n^2 = d\theta_1^2 + sin^2\theta_1 d\theta_2^2 + ... + sin^2\theta_1 sin^2\theta_2 ... sin^2\theta_{n-1} d\theta_n^2$$

. The usual energy-momentum tensor is modified by addition of a term

$$T_{ij}^{vac} = -\Lambda(t) g_{ij}, \tag{2}$$

where $\Lambda(t)$ is the cosmological term and g_{ij} is the metric tensor.

For the perfect fluid distribution Einstein field equations with the cosmological constant Λ and gravitational constant $G = 1$ may be written as

$$R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{ij} = -8\pi T_{ij} - \Lambda(t) g_{ij}. \tag{3}$$

The energy-momentum tensor is T_{ij} is defined as

$$T_{ij} = (p + \rho) u_i u_j - p g_{ij}, \tag{4}$$

where p and ρ are, respectively, the energy and pressure of the cosmic fluid, and u_i is the fluid four-velocity such that $u^i u_i = 1$. The Einstein filed Eqs. (3) and (4) for the metric (1) take the form

$$\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\left[\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{k}{a^2}\right] = 8\pi\rho + \Lambda(t), \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{n\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\left[\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{k}{a^2}\right] = -8\pi p + \Lambda(t). \tag{6}$$

An over dot indicates a derivative with respect to time t. The energy conservation equation $T^i_{j;i} = 0$ leads to

$$\dot{\rho} + (n+1)(\rho + p)H = -\frac{\dot{\Lambda}}{8\pi}\,, \tag{7}$$

where $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is the Hubble parameter.

For complete determinacy of the system, we consider a perfect-gas equation of state

$$p = \gamma\rho, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1. \tag{8}$$

It is worth noting here that our approach suffers from a lack of Lagrangian approach. There is no known way to present a consistent Lagrangian model satisfying the necessary conditions discussed in the paper.

3 Solution of the Field Equations

In case of the stiff fluid i.e. $\gamma = 1$ in Eq. (8), Equations (5) and (6) with $k = 0$ reduces to

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + n\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{2\Lambda(t)}{n}. \tag{9}$$

We propose a phenomenological decay law for Λ of the form [27, 28]

$$\Lambda = \beta\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right), \tag{10}$$

where β is constant. Overdin and Cooperstock have pointed out that the model with $\Lambda \propto H^2$ is equivalent to above form.

Using Eq. (10) in Eq. (9) and by integrating we obtain

$$a(t) = \left[\frac{K}{A_0}\ t\right]^{A_0}, \tag{11}$$

where K is an integrating constant and the constant A_0 has the value

$$A_0 = \frac{(2\beta - n)}{2\beta - n(n+1)}. \tag{12}$$

By using Eq. (11) in the field equations Eqs. (5) and (6) we obtain

$$\Lambda(t) = \frac{n^2\beta(2\beta - n)}{[2\beta - n(n+1)]^2}\frac{1}{t^2}\,, \qquad \beta \neq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}. \tag{13}$$

$$\rho(t) = \frac{n(2\beta - n)}{16\pi[2\beta - n(n+1)]} \frac{1}{t^2} \ , \quad \beta \neq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}. \tag{14}$$

The deceleration parameter q is defined as

$$q = -\frac{\ddot{a}a}{\dot{a}^2} = \frac{(1 - A_0)}{A_0} = \frac{n^2}{(n - 2\beta)} \ , \quad \beta \neq \frac{n}{2} \tag{15}$$

The density parameter of the universe Ω_m is given by

$$\Omega_m = \frac{16\pi\rho}{n(n+1)H^2} = \frac{2\beta - n(n+1)}{(n+1)(2\beta - n)} \ , \quad \beta \neq \frac{n}{2}, \quad n \geq 2. \tag{16}$$

The density parameter due to vacuum contribution is defined as $\Omega_\Lambda = \frac{2\Lambda}{n(n+1)H^2}$. Employing Eq. (13), this gives

$$\Omega_\Lambda = \frac{2n\beta}{(n+1)(2\beta - n)} \ , \quad \beta \neq \frac{n}{2}, \quad n \geq 2. \tag{17}$$

From Eqs. (16) and (17), we obtain

$$\Omega_m + \Omega_\Lambda = 1.$$

According to high redshift supernovae and CMB, the preliminary results from the advancing field of cosmology suggest that the universe may be accelerating universe with a dominant contribution to its energy density coming in the form of cosmological Λ-term. The results, when combined with CMB anisotropy observations on intermediate angular scales, strongly support a flat universe

$$\Omega_m + \Omega_\Lambda = 1. \tag{18}$$

The age of the universe is calculated as

$$t_0 = H_0^{-1} A_0. \tag{19}$$

4 Neoclassical Tests (Proper Distance $d(z)$)

A photon emitted by a source with coordinate $r = r_1$ and $t = t_1$ and received at a time t_0 by an observer located at $r = 0$. The emitted radiation will follow null geodesics on which $(\theta_1, \theta_2, ...\theta_n)$ are constant.

The proper distance between the source and observer is given by

$$d(z) = a_0 \int_a^{a_0} \frac{da}{a\dot{a}} \ , \tag{20}$$

$$r_1 = \int_{t_1}^{t_0} \frac{dt}{a} = \frac{a_0^{-1} H_0^{-1} A_0}{(1 - A_0)} \left[1 - (1+z)^{\frac{A_0 - 1}{A_0}}\right].$$

Hence

$$d(z) = r_1 a_0 = H_0^{-1} \left(\frac{A_0}{1 - A_0} \right) \left[1 - (1+z)^{\frac{A_0 - 1}{A_0}} \right], \tag{21}$$

where $(1+z) = \frac{R_0}{R}$ = redshift and a_0 is the present scale factor of the universe.

For small z Eq. (21) reduces to

$$H_0 d(z) = z - \frac{1}{2} A_0 z^2 + ... \tag{22}$$

By using Eq. (15)

$$H_0 d(z) = z - \frac{1}{2}(1+q) z^2 + ... \tag{23}$$

From Eq. (21), it is observed that the distance d is maximum at $z = \infty$. Hence

$$d(z = \infty) = H_0^{-1} \left(\frac{A_0}{1 - A_0} \right) = \frac{H_0^{-1}}{n^2}(n - 2\beta) \tag{24}$$

Eq. (21) gives the Freese *et al.* results for the proper distance if we choose $n = 2$ and

$$\frac{A_0}{(1 - A_0)} = \frac{2}{(3\Omega_m - 2)}$$

and $d(z)$ is maximum for $\Omega_m \to 0$ (de-Sitter universe) and minimum for $\Omega_m \to 1$ ES.

5 Luminosity Distance

Luminosity distance is the another important concept of theoretical cosmology of a light source. The luminosity distance is a way of expanding the amount of light received from a distant object. It is the distance that the object appears to have, assuming the inverse square law for the reduction of light intensity with distance holds. The luminosity distance is *not* the actual distance to the object, because in the real universe the inverse square law does not hold. It is broken both because the geometry of the universe need not be flat, and because the universe is expanding. In other words, it is defined in such a way as generalizes the inverse-square law of the brightness in the static Euclidean space to an expanding curved space [28].

If d_L is the luminosity distance to the object, then

$$d_L = \left(\frac{L}{4\pi l} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{25}$$

where L is the total energy emitted by the source per unit time, l is the apparent luminosity of the object. Therefore one can write

$$d_L = r_1 a_0 = d(1+z). \tag{26}$$

Using Eq. (21) equation (26) reduces to

$$H_0 d_L = (1+z)\left(\frac{A_0}{1-A_0}\right)\left[1-(1+z)^{\frac{A_0-1}{A_0}}\right]. \tag{27}$$

For small value of z, Eq. (27) gives

$$H_0 d_L = z + \frac{1}{2}(1-q)z^2 + ... \tag{28}$$

or by using Eq. (16)

$$H_0 d_L = z + \left[1-\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)\Omega_m\right]z^2 + ... \tag{29}$$

The luminosity distance depends on the cosmological model we have under discussion, and hence can be used to tell us which cosmological model describe our universe. Unfortunately, however, the observable quantity is the radiation flux density received from an object, and this can only be translated into a luminosity distance if the absolute luminosity of the object is known. There is no distant astronomical objects for which this is the cases. This problem can however be circumvented if there are a population of objects at different distances which are believed to have the same luminosity; even if that luminosity is not known, it will appear merely as an overall scaling factor.

Such a population object is Type Ia supernovae. These are believed to be caused by the core collapse of white dwarf stars when they accrete material to take them over the Chandrasekhar limit. Accordingly, the progenitors of such supernovae are expected to very similar, leading to supernovae of a characteristic brightness. This already gives good standard candle, but it can be further improved as there is an observed correlation between the maximum absolute brightness of a supernova and the rate at which its brightens and faded. And because a supernova at maximum brightness has a luminosity comparable to an entire galaxy, they can be seen at great distance. Exactly such an effect has been observed for several dozen Type Ia high z supernovae ($z_{max} \leq 0.83$) by two teams: the supernova cosmology project [10] and the high-z supernova search team [11]. The results delivered a major surprise to cosmologists. None of the usual cosmological models without a cosmological constant were able to explain the observed luminosity distance curve. The observations of Perlmutter *et al.* [10] indicate that the joint probability distribution of $(\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda)$ is well fitted by

$$0.8\Omega_m - 0.6\Omega_\Lambda \simeq -0.2 \pm 0.1.$$

The best-fit region strongly favours a positive energy density for the cosmological constant $\Omega_\Lambda > 0$.

6 Angular Diameter Distance

The angular diameter distance is a measure of how large objects appear to be. As with the luminosity distance, it is defined as the distance that an object of known physical extent appears to be at, under the assumption of Euclidean geometry.

The angular diameter d_A of a light source of proper distance d is given by

$$d_A = d(z)(1+z)^{-1} = d_L(1+z)^{-2}. \tag{30}$$

Applying Eq. (27) we obtain

$$H_0 d_L = \frac{A_0}{1-A_0}\left[\frac{1-(1+z)^{\frac{A_0-1}{A_0}}}{(1+z)}\right]. \tag{31}$$

Usually d_A has a minimum (or maximum) for some $Z = Z_m$. In Freese *et al.* [34] model, for example this occurs for $n = 2$ and

$$Z_m = \left(\frac{3}{2}\Omega_m\right)^{\frac{2}{(3\Omega_m-2)}} - 1.$$

The maximum d_A for our model, one can easily find by setting the value of Ω_m.

The angular diameter and luminosity distances have similar forms, but have a different dependence on redshift. As with the luminosity distance, for nearly objects the angular diameter distance closely matches the physical distance, so that objects appear smaller as they are put further away. However the angular diameter distance has a much more striking behaviour for distant objects. The luminosity distance effect dims the radiation and the angular diameter distance effect means the light is spread over a large angular area. This is so-called surface brightness dimming is therefore a particularly strong function of redshift.

7 Look Back Time

The time in the past at which the light we now receive from a distant object was emitted is called the look back time. How *long ago* the light was emitted (the look back time) depends on the dynamics of the universe.

The radiation travel time (or look-back time) $t - t_0$ for photon emitted by a source at instant t and received at t_0 is given by

$$t - t_0 = \int_a^{a_0} \frac{da}{\dot{a}}\,, \tag{32}$$

Equation (11) can be rewritten as

$$a = B_0\, t^{A_0}, \;\; B_0 = constant. \tag{33}$$

This follows that

$$\frac{a_0}{a} = 1 + z = \left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^{A_0}, \tag{34}$$

The above equation gives

$$t = t_0(1+z)^{-\frac{1}{A_0}}. \tag{35}$$

From Eqs. (21) and (35), we obtain

$$t_0 - t = A_0 H_0^{-1}\left[1 - (1+z)^{-\frac{1}{A_0}}\right], \tag{36}$$

which is

$$H_0(t_0 - t) = A_0\left[1 - (1+z)^{-\frac{1}{A_0}}\right]. \tag{37}$$

For small z one obtain

$$H_0(t_0 - t) = z - (1 + \frac{q}{2})z^2 + ... \tag{38}$$

From Eqs. (35) and (37), we observe that at $z \to \infty$, $H_0 t_0 = A_0$ (constant). For $n = 2$ and $A_0 = \frac{2}{3}$ gives the well-known ES result

$$H_0(t_0 - t) = \frac{2}{3}\left[1 - (1+z)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right]. \tag{39}$$

8 Conclusions

In this paper we have analyzed the RW multidimensional stiff fluid cosmological models with Λ-term varying with time and of the form $\Lambda = \beta\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right)$. The results for the cosmological tests are compatible with the present observations. To solve the age parameter and density parameter one require the cosmological constant to be positive or equivalently the deceleration parameter to be negative. This imply an accelerating universe. The proper distance, the luminosity distance-redshift, the angular diameter distance-redshift, and look back time-redshift for the model are presented in the frame work of multidimensional space time. The model of the Freese *et al.* is retrieved from our model for $n = 2$ and the particular choice of A_0. Also the ES results are obtained for the case $A_0 = \frac{1}{2}$. These tests are found to depend on β. It is a general belief among cosmologists that more precise observational data should be achieved in order to make more definite statements about the validity of cosmological models (Charlton and Turner [35]). We hope that in the near future, with the new generation of the telescope, the present situation could be reversed.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Prof. Ravi Kulkarni, Director, Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Allahabad, India, for providing warm hospitality and excellent facilities where this work was done.

References

[1] Chodos, A., and Detweiler, S. (1980). *Phys. Rev. D*, **21**, 2167.

[2] Chaterjee, S. (1992). *Astrophys. J*, **397**, 1.

[3] Ratra, B., and Peebles, P. J. E. (1988). *Phys. Rev. D*, **37**, 3406.

[4] Dolgov, A. D. (1983). in *The Very Early Universe*, eds. Gibbons, G. W., Hawking, S. W., and Siklos, S. T. C. (Cambridge Univerity Press, Cambridge).

[5] Dolgov, A. D., Sazhin, M. V., and Zeldovich, Ya. B. (1990). *Basics of Modern Cosmology* Editions Frontiers.

[6] Dolgov, A. D. (1997). *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 5881.

[7] Sahni, V., and Starobinsky, A. (2000). *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **9**, 373, gr-qc/9904398.

[8] Padmanabhan, T. (2003). *Phys. Rep.* **380**. 235, hep-th/0212290.

[9] Peebles, P. J. E. (2003). *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 599, astro-ph/0207347.

[10] Perlmutter, S. *et al.* (1997). *Astrophys. J.* **483**, 565, astro-ph/9608192; (1998). *Nature* **391**, 51, astro-ph/9712212; (1999). *Astrophys. J.* **517**, 565, astro-ph/9608192.

[11] Riess, A. G. *et al.* (1998). *Astron. J.* **116**, 1009, astro-ph/9805201.

[12] Vilenkin, A. (1985). *Phys. Rep.* **121**, 265.

[13] Garnavich, P. M. *et al.* (1998a). *Astrophys. J.* **493**, L53, astro-ph/9710123; (1998b). *Astrophys. J.* **509**, 74, astro-ph/9806396.

[14] Carmeli, M., and Kuzmenko, T. (2002). *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **41**, 131.

[15] Behar, S., and Carmeli, M. (2002). *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **39**, 1375, astro-ph/0008352.

[16] Schmidt, B. P. *et al.* (1998). Astrophys. J. **507**, 46, astro-ph/9805200.

[17] Gasperini, M. (1987). *Phys. Lett.* B **194**, 347.

[18] Gasperini, M. (1988). *Class. Quant. Grav.* **5**, 521.

[19] Berman, M. S. (1990). *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **29**, 567; (1990). *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **29**, 1419; (1991). *Phys. Rev. D* **43**, 75.
Berman, M. S., and Som, M. M. (1990). *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **29**, 1411.
Berman, M. S., Som, M. M., and Gomide, F. M. (1989). *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **21**, 287.
Berman, M. S., and Gomide, F. M. (1990). *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **22**, 625.

[20] Özer, M., and Taha, M. O. (1987). *Nucl. Phys.* B **287**, 776.

[21] Peebles, P. J. E., and Ratra, B. (1988). *Astrophys. J.* **325**, L17.

[22] Chen, W., and Wu, Y. S. (1990). *Phys. Rev. D* **41**, 695.

[23] Abdussattar and Vishwakarma, R. G. (1996). *Pramana - J. Phys.* **47**, 41.

[24] Gariel, J. and Le Denmat, G. (1999). *Class. Quant. Grav.* **16**, 149.

[25] Pradhan, A., and Kumar, A. (2001). *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **10**, 291.
Pradhan, A., and Yadav, V. K. (2002). *Int J. Mod Phys. D* **11**, 983.

[26] Abdel-Rahaman, A.-M. M. (1990). *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **22**, 655; (1992). *Phys. Rev. D* **45**, 3492.

[27] J. C. Carvalho, J. A. S. Lima and I. Waga, *Phys. Rev.* D **46**, 2404 (1992).

[28] I. Waga, *Astrophys. J.* **414**, 436 (1993).

[29] Silveira, V., and Waga, I. (1994). *Phys. Rev.* D **50**, 4890 (1994).
Silviera, V., and Waga, I. (1994). *ibid. D* **50**, 4890.

[30] Vishwakarma, R. G. (2000). *Class. Quant. Grav.* **17**, 3833.

[31] Al-Rawaf, A. S. and Taha, M. O. (1996). *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **28**, 935.
Al-Rawaf, A. S. (1998). *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A **13**, 429.

[32] Overdin, J. M., and Cooperstock, F. I. (1998). *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 043506.

[33] Arbab, A. I. (2003). *J. Cosmo. Astroparticle Phys.* **05**, 008, astro-ph/0212565; (2003). *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, 93, gr-qc/9905066.

[34] Freese, K., Adams, F. C., Frieman, J. A., and Mottola, E. (1987). *Nuc. Phys. B* **287**, 797.

[35] Charlton, J. C. and Turner, M. S. (1987). *Astrophys. J.* **313**, 495.